

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/516,252	SOBALVARRO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yogesh C. Garg	3625	

All Participants:

(1) Yogesh C. Garg.

(2) Attorney Chad Thorson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Thorson agreed for issuing an Examiner's amendment to amend claims 1, 11 and 93 and cancel claims 21-92 and 94 to place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)